

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,965	11/08/2001	Robert B. Danielson	7371.41USUI	2661
23552	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			WALTON, GEORGE L	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/005,965	DANIELSON ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		George L. Walton	3753		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte - after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	ay 2004.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 13-35</u> is/are pending in the application.				
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 13-35</u> are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement			
		on and/or election requirements.			
	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
		diffilier. Note the attached Office	7.6		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachmer	ot(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail De 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	-				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-11 and 13-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 2-11, 13-29 and 33-35 are drawn to a tool for inserting and/or removing a service connection valve core, classified in class 137, subclass 315.41.

II. Claims 30-32 are drawn to a tool and pneumatic gun for applying or removing a valve means, classified in class 29, subclass 221.6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct in that they are not capable of being used together because they have different modes of operations and different effects. Note that the invention of Group I does not recite or require the claimed pneumatic gun as set forth in Group II. These groups are further distinct for the following reasons:

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was not made to the applicants or their attorney(s) to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

The applicant's attention is directed to the following patents with discussion with the examiner upon receipt of this action in an attempt to place this application in condition for allowance. Schuessler, Jr. et al (5,803,108), Olson (3,840967), Fritch (3,561,090), Morton (3,208,133), Rivers (6,612,05 B2), Spalink et al (Re. 34,781), Jensen (5,139,049), Stickley (5,228,178), Barjesteh et al (6,5253,436), Campbell (5,241,380) and Karcher (4,182,370).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,965 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is 703-308-2596. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George L. Walton Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753